



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJAE_6502
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of Migrant Remittances on the output of Arable Crop of Farm Households in South East Nigeria
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	A sample size of 120 is too small for a study area with a population of 29,000,000 people covering 5 states. Every state should have been part of the sample as they are contiguous. A sample size of not less than 200 is recommended. The sample cannot be said to be randomized with purposive sampling. The hypotheses of the study should be well stated and the choice of analytical technique for each hypothesis justified. Two different levels of confidence should not be used for the same study. 1% or 5% should be used for testing all hypotheses.	The population studies was that of arable crop farmers and neither the entire population of farmers nor that of all the inhabitants. Also the quality of data collected makes the difference as cost route approach was followed. Thirdly, financial constraints could not allow for more number of respondents.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The study is not an impact study. Hence, a change of title is recommended: Influence of Migrant Remittances on Arable Crop Yield of Farm Households in South East Nigeria.	I disagree with the reviewer. It is an impact study and that is the essence of the Chow's test used in the analysis of data. It measures impact. (see Onyenweaku, 1997).



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--